PATeRrNS OF INTEGRATIoN:
A Longitudinal View of the Labour Market
Attachment of Immigrants in Finland

Abstract
The labour market integration of immigrants is often time-consuming and a socially selective process that depends on macroeconomic, institutional and individual characteristics. In this paper, we studied the labour market integration of immigrants as a longitudinal process through different patterns of labour market attachment. Using information about individuals' main activity statuses based on the Finnish Longitudinal Employer-Employee Data (FLEED) and using sequence analysis, we followed the labour market transitions of immigrants during the years 2000–2010. The theory on transitional labour markets provides an analytical tool for analysing the heterogeneity of the individuals' labour market attachment. The results contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of the labour market integration of immigrants.
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1 Introduction
The integration of immigrants into a host country is a multi-dimensional phenomenon; it involves various processes, such as integration into economic, political, social and cultural spheres, as well as the acquisition of civil rights, the recognition of qualifications and opportunities to access new education and training. Labour market integration is thus an important aspect of an immigrants' integration into the host country's society. With increased global immigration, this issue is relevant for all the countries.

The labour market integration of immigrants and the efficiency of policy became a topical issue in Finland when Finland joined the European Union in 1995, and again when the European Union extended membership to Eastern European countries in 2004, gradually enabling the free mobility of citizens of the new member states (Alho 2013; Ristikari 2012). Finland had also previously experienced an influx of immigrants in the late 1980s, but at that time, policies were mainly concentrated on return migration – i.e. on the rights of people with Finnish ethnic backgrounds and those living in the Soviet Union who sought to return to Finland. However, a significant change in immigration policy took place following Finland's accession in 1995. These processes had had influence on Finland as it turned from a country of emigration into a country of new immigration (Borkert et al. 2007). Nevertheless, in comparison to other European countries, Finland's per capita share of immigrants is still quite low. By the end of 2013, there were 207,511 foreign nationals living in Finland (nearly 3.8% of the population; Statistics of Finland 2015).
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Most studies on the integration of the immigrants are grounded on case studies, documentary analysis, surveys and cross-sectional data, yet there are few studies based on longitudinal and representative data, which are appropriate for individual measurements. Viewing the labour integration process as a long-term and dynamic process, our research question is: what are the typical trajectories of labour market attachment through which immigrants' labour market integration takes place? We offer the concept of the transitional labour market to point out the dynamics of 'transitions' on the one hand, and the differentiation of individuals' labour market attachment on the other.

In this article, we argue that a one-off transitions into employment cannot provide reliable evidence because the positions of immigrants in the labour market are rather unstable and in continuous flow. Thus, we focus on characterisation of the longitudinal characteristics of individual attachment patterns. In order to understand this phenomenon, we will identify the typical patterns of labour market attachment in the long term, classify the typology of transitions that lead to different main activity statuses and categorise explanatory factors for transitions (age, gender, education).

This paper is organised as follows: the next section describes the theoretical background. The third section discusses data collection, experimental and data analysis procedures. The following section presents consistent observations and the main research results. The subsequent section discusses the main research results. Finally, the last section offers research conclusions.
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2 Theoretical background

There are multiple preconditions for labour market integration in a host country. On the one hand, the work experience, occupation and labour market status that immigrants held in their country of origin have a significant effect on their integration into a new host country; immigrants who have already been employed in their native country have a higher probability of being employed in a new country. (Raijman & Semyonov 1995; Chiswick et al. 1997) On the other hand, the transferability of skills affects the ability of immigrants to secure adequate employment. The majority of highly skilled immigrants experience substantial downward mobility ("U-shaped mobility") and professional disqualification, which lands them in a job not corresponding to their level of education (Jasso & Rosenzweig 1995; Kogan 2007; Kogan 2004a; Kogan 2004b; Akresh 2008; Chiswick & Lee 2005; Powers & Seltzer 1998; Powers et al. 1998; Stier & Levanon 2003).

Considering the preconditions of integration, neither higher education obtained in the native country nor higher education obtained in the host country predetermine adequate employment for immigrants in the host country. Frequently, education is considered only as evidence of a period spent in apprenticeship, not necessarily as a promise for future employment. The lower the international transferability of skills and the higher the level of skill of the immigrant in their country of origin, the larger the disqualification and U-pattern effect are (Akresh 2006: 869, 872; Raijman & Semyonov 1995: 390–391). This situation exists due to the effects of a ‘ceiling’ and ‘floor’ as a scale of opportunities for labour mobility; highly skilled immigrants, in particular, have a limited opportunity for career development because their employment focuses on a specific job (Jasso & Rosenzweig 1995: 108–109; Phythian et al. 2009: 375).

Only among certain categories of immigrants – for example, among long-term immigrant women, who have been in the country for 10 years or more – does education obtained in an immigrants’ country of destination have an important effect on integration and a positive influence. The lower the international transferability of skills and the higher the level of skill of the immigrant in their country of origin, the larger the disqualification and U-pattern effect are (Akresh 2006: 869, 872; Raijman & Semyonov 1995: 390–391). This situation exists due to the effects of a ‘ceiling’ and ‘floor’ as a scale of opportunities for labour mobility; highly skilled immigrants, in particular, have a limited opportunity for career development because their employment focuses on a specific job (Jasso & Rosenzweig 1995: 108–109; Phythian et al. 2009: 375).

3 Data and methods

In this study, we used the Finnish Longitudinal Employer-Employee Data (FLEED) created by Statistics Finland. Approximately 1,200,000 persons are included in the data annually. The data represents a randomly selected third of people aged 15–70 living in Finland between 1988 and 2010. FLEED includes data on individual basic characteristics, family, living, employment relationships, periods of unemployment, income and education over time for the entire observation period. Thus, FLEED contains information about labour relations and employment as periods of employment (in months), periods of unemployment (in months), the number of unemployment periods and their continuity, and the main activities in the labour force (education, employment, etc.).

From the FLEED data, we chose to analyse only the immigrants who had been registered after receiving their first residence permit in Finland in 2000, whose nationality is something other than ‘a citizen of Finland’, and whose native language is not Finnish or Swedish. We followed the immigrants’ economic activity and the changes in their main situational statuses (‘sequences’) during the period of 2000–2010. For the items in the sequence analysis, we considered such statuses as ‘apprenticeship’, ‘employment’, ‘unemployment’, ‘economic inactivity’ and ‘pension’. For the sequence characteristics, we considered ‘paths’ of transitions between statuses (employed-unemployed; employed-inactivity; unemployed-inactivity; employed, unemployed, inactivity-apprenticeship, etc.).

Based on the assumption that labour integration is a long-term dynamic process that includes transitions between various statuses in the labour market, the sequence analysis allows us to represent a time-ordered sequence of the socio-economic states (statuses). A ‘sequence’ is thus defined as an ordered list of elements in which every element can be a certain status (e.g. an employment status). The positions of the elements are fixed and ordered according to periods or to another more or less natural order (Brzinsky-Fay, Kohler & Luniak 2006). The information is generalised by means of ‘sequence’ comparison (the similarity measure). The optimal matching algorithm (OMA) is a frequently applied method for the comparison
Table 1. Transition rates for the sequence object

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>-&gt;employment</th>
<th>-&gt;unemployment</th>
<th>-&gt;pension</th>
<th>-&gt;apprenticeship</th>
<th>-&gt;outside the LM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>employment -&gt;</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unemployment -&gt;</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pension -&gt;</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>apprenticeship -&gt;</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>outside the LM -&gt;</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

of sequences, and it defines the distance between two sequences as the number of operations it takes to transform one sequence into the other. More specifically, the technique is based on three main operations: 'substitution' (transitioning from one element into another one), 'insertion' (inserting an element at a specific position) or 'deletion' (deleting an element at a specific position) (Brzinsky-Fay 2011).

When the similarities and differences between sequences are fixed, the overall resulting distance matrix is created as an input for cluster analysis or multi-dimensional scaling. Clustering as an exploratory data analysis method aims at finding automatically homogeneous groups or 'clusters' in the data. This method has mainly been used in life-course research and in combination with optimal matching (OM) distances in order to identify distinct groups of sequences with similar patterns for the purpose of defining a typology of sequences (Abbott & Forrest 1986; Abbott 1995; Abbott & Tsay 2000; Aisenbrei & Fasang 2010; Fasang & Liao 2013; Gabadinho et al. 2011; Gauthier et al. 2010; King 2013; Pollock 2007; Halpin 2010; Martin, Schoon & Ross 2008; Biemann 2011; Wu 2000). The sequence analysis provides an avenue to detect the heterogeneity of labour market attachment of individuals in long run and to answer the research question.

4 Results

Descriptive statistics

Between 2000 and 2010, the share of employed immigrants in Finland increased from 26.5% in 2000 to 44.7% in 2010. At the same time, the share of unemployed immigrants decreased from 18% to 12%, while the share of immigrants who were outside the labour market reduced from 29.2% to 13.9%.

Overall, there were 2,596 people (49.7% men, 50.3% women) in the research database in 2000, while the overall number of immigrants at the end of the observation period reduced to 2,013 people (47.3% men, 52.7% women). The number of withdrawn (missing) immigrants steadily increased over the observation period and formed 22.5% of the overall number of immigrants who came to Finland in 2000 (Figure 1). Every year, the research database shortens owing to the natural diminution of the population (deaths), those reaching 70 years of age, and those who leave Finland to move to another country. Following the process of integration among all immigrants, it is especially important for us to define which main activity statuses lead to withdrawals from the labour market.

In order to explain the model, we used several descriptive indicators, such as transition rates and substitution-cost matrices.
In addition to the transition rates, we used the substitution-cost matrix when computing distances between sequences through the method of optimal matching. The function creates the substitution matrix by using a constant, or, alternatively, the transition rates are computed from the sequence data OM distances using substitution costs based on transition rates observed in the data. The minimum cost is zero for the substitution of each state by itself, and the maximum is less than two – i.e. the value that we would get for transitions not observed in the data (Gabadinho et al. 2011: 26). In accordance with what was observed in the transition rate matrix, the lowest costs exist for substituting ‘unemployment’ to ‘apprenticeship’ or ‘outside the labour market’, meaning that these substitutions occur more frequently than the other substitutions; in other words, these are the most frequent statuses. Unlike transition rates, the substitution costs are symmetric and thus the lowest cost exists for changing ‘apprenticeship’ and ‘outside the labour market’ to ‘unemployment’ (Table 2).

### Patterns of labour market attachment and the typology of transitions

Sequence analysis allows the examination of data that are sorted by two ways – by the beginning of the period and by the end of the period. This issue is rather important in deciding what kind of sorting must be chosen for the analysis. Considering integration as a process during which an immigrant, having gone through a certain chain of transitions (changes of statuses), reaches the final status in the labour market, we chose the status at the end of the period to be the more important one. According to this assumption, we analysed the chains of sequences that were fixed at the end of the observation period. Nevertheless, we also compared sequences as sorted by the years 2000 and 2010 in order to compare which statuses have been more typical in a cluster group at the beginning and at the end of the integration process (Figure 2).

The results of the sequence analysis showed that during the 11-year period, three groups of immigrants obtained relatively stable employment: ‘quick integration’ (19.1%), ‘delayed integration’ (from unemployment and inactivity) (15.9%) and ‘delayed integration’ (from apprenticeship) (7%). The main types of sequences, their percentage share in the database and the description of typical sequences of statuses are presented in Table 1 in the Appendix. On the other hand, sequence analysis also revealed those groups of immigrants for whom economic inactivity (‘Entering’ (7.6%) and ‘Exclusion’ (8%)) or unemployment (‘Circulating’ (13%)) were dominant statuses in the labour market. A significant share of immigrants rather frequently left the labour market or left the country (‘Withdrawal’ (12.4%) and ‘Dropout’ (11.1%)). Finally, for a certain groups of immigrants, the statuses ‘pension’ and ‘apprenticeship’ were dominant during the 11-year period (‘Pension’ (1.5%) and ‘Apprenticeship’ (4.3%)).

### Transitions resulting in employment

One of the most typical transitional patterns discovered leads to employment. In this case, quick integration in the labour market and delayed integration are possible. As one of the main trajectories of behaviour (type 5 “Quick integration”, 19.1%, mean age = 29.35, median = 28), this is typical for immigrants who have been integrated into the labour market quickly and have found employment immediately after moving to Finland. The mean time of arriving at the status of ‘employment’ is 10 years, with short periods in other statuses during the initial years.

Another group of immigrants found jobs after initial periods of apprenticeship in the country (type 3 ‘Delayed integration (from apprenticeship); 7%, mean age = 19.76, median = 15) and the initial period of being in this status was 4–6 years. A distinctive feature of this group of immigrants is the transition to the category ‘employment’ immediately after a period of education. However, for many immigrants, such employment is not permanent: they come back to recurrent periods of education and then recurrently find work. Another category of immigrants has similar patterns of the labour market attachment; however, this group has short periods of economic inactivity or unemployment instead of employment.

Another pattern implies that immigrants find employment after a certain period of being in ‘unemployment’, ‘outside the labour market’ or in an ‘apprenticeship’ (type 8 ‘Delayed integration (from unemployment and inactivity)’, 15.9%, mean age = 28.31, median = 27). The mean time of being in ‘employment’ is 6 years, whereas in a status of ‘outside the labour market’, ‘unemployment’ or ‘apprenticeship’, the time is approximately 2 years (equally for each status). This type of behaviour implies that immigrants have prolonged unemployment or economic inactivity. A significant proportion of immigrants have a short period of apprenticeship at the beginning of their labour careers, and the final transition to employment occurs only in the second half of the observed period.

---

**Table 2. Substitution cost matrix**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>employment-&gt;</th>
<th>unemployment-&gt;</th>
<th>pension-&gt;</th>
<th>apprenticeship-&gt;</th>
<th>outside the LM-&gt;</th>
<th>*-&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>employment-&gt;</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>1.711718</td>
<td>1.987189</td>
<td>1.726209</td>
<td>1.850260</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unemployment-&gt;</td>
<td>1.711718</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>1.982414</td>
<td>1.692120</td>
<td>1.708694</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pension-&gt;</td>
<td>1.987189</td>
<td>1.982414</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>1.970543</td>
<td>1.935495</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>apprenticeship-&gt;</td>
<td>1.726209</td>
<td>1.692120</td>
<td>1.970543</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>1.722828</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>outside the LM-&gt;</td>
<td>1.850260</td>
<td>1.708694</td>
<td>1.935495</td>
<td>1.722828</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*-&gt;</td>
<td>2.000000</td>
<td>2.000000</td>
<td>2.000000</td>
<td>2.000000</td>
<td>2.000000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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Figure 2. Types of sequences as sorted by fixed beginning (cases are sorted by the 2000 year) and fixed end (cases are sorted by the 2010 year) of the observation period.
Transitions resulting in economic inactivity

One of the models of labour market attachment ends with unsuccessful labour integration. The adaptation behaviour of immigrants in the first group (type 1 ‘Entering’, 7.6%, mean age = 28.94, median = 26) implies that, after a period of being outside the labour market, immigrants try to enter the labour market by means of official unemployment status, educational programmes or short-term job placements. Nevertheless, in many cases, immigrants come back to an initial status of inactivity or move to the category ‘unemployment’. The most typical status was ‘economically inactive population’ and the majority of immigrants spend 6–7 years in this status.

The same tendencies are typical for those immigrants who have been outside the labour market for a long time (type 9 ‘Exclusion’, 8%, mean age = 32.99, median = 29). At the end of the adaptation period, immigrants stay outside the labour force or move away from Finland. The mean time of immigrants being in the status ‘outside the labour market’ is 9 years; most immigrants are in other statuses for less than a year. In this case, transition to one of the categories occurs either directly from an initial status or by means of a short-term circulation of statuses. The typical models of behaviour for immigrants in this group are moving out from the country after initial periods of apprenticeship, unemployment or employment and subsequent economic inactivity for 6–7 years, or moving to the category ‘economically inactive population’ after initial periods of apprenticeship or employment.

Transitions resulting in unemployment

In this cluster, we find cases that imply a more active circulation between statuses in the labour market and outside it (type 6 ‘Circulating’, 13%, mean age = 34.72, median = 34.50). On average, the mean time of being in ‘unemployment’ is found to be 5.5 years, whereas in other statuses (‘employment’, ‘apprenticeship’ or ‘outside the labour market’), the average period is 2 years. This type of behaviour is the most dynamic. One of the most typical transitions observed is the transition from an initial status of ‘unemployment’ to the same status by means of circulation of statuses in the labour market and outside it. Sometimes immigrants move to the category of ‘economically inactive population’ as an intermediate stage in the chain of circulation. Another variant of behaviour implies a stage of education as an intermediate period. Nevertheless, immigrants again find themselves in the category of ‘unemployment’.

Transitions resulting in ‘dropping out’

One of the most typical labour market attachment patterns observed ends with withdrawal from the labour market, or dropping out of the database (moving to another country, death or reaching one’s seventieth birthday). It implies that immigrants have an initial status of employment for 4 years (on average), then, more often than not, move to another category of ‘economic inactivity’ or ‘unemployment’, or drop out of the database (type 2 ‘Withdrawal’, 12.4%, mean age = 29.34, median = 28). Overall, the period of dropping out occurs in the second half of the observation period. More often, immigrants, after an initial period of prolonged employment, circulate between statuses in the labour market and only after that move to a category ‘outside the labour market’ (the last 2–6 years) or leave the country. In comparison, another pattern of labour market attachment also implies dropping out (type 4 ‘Dropout’, 11.1%, mean age = 33.42, median = 30). In the main category, immigrants directly leave the country after an initial status of ‘outside the labour market’ (on average for 2 years), whereas in another category, immigrants go through a circulation of statuses and finally migrate to another country. The final period of dropping out occurs after 4–10 years.

Transitions resulting in other statuses

According to the results, one more model of behaviour leads to statuses that are not directly associated with employment such as, for example, ‘pension’ or ‘apprenticeship’. In the least numerous group, immigrants end their period of adaptation and integration in a status of ‘pension’ (type 7 ‘Pension’, 1.5%, mean age = 45.48, median = 50). The mean time in a status of ‘pension’ was 4.5 years, while the average was 2.5 years in a status of ‘outside the labour market’ or ‘unemployment’. The two models of behaviour are peculiar for immigrants in this group. In one case, immigrants, after an initial period of economic inactivity, directly move to the category ‘pension’. In another case, after initial periods of ‘apprenticeship’ or ‘unemployment’, immigrants leave the labour market for 1–5 years and only after that, move to the category ‘pension’ (the last 3–6 years of the observation period).

Another transitional model (type 10 ‘Apprenticeship’, 4.3%, mean age = 19.38, median = 15) implies a long period in the status ‘apprenticeship’ (7 years), with stays in other statuses aggregating to 1–2 years. One of the most typical transitions is a permanent recurrence to the sphere of ‘apprenticeship’ as an intermediate stage in a chain of other statuses. This type of behaviour is different from others because immigrants initiate integration by studying in Finland. However, after this period, their trajectories disperse. In a more successful way, after a period of apprenticeship, immigrants move to one of the other statuses and find a job (the last 1–3 years of the observation period). In another case, immigrants move interchangeably between statuses of ‘apprenticeship’, ‘unemployment’ or ‘economic inactivity’. The status of ‘employment’ or ‘economic inactivity’ is the final one in the chain of statuses (the last 1–3 years). In a final category, having started from ‘apprenticeship’, immigrants end their period of integration in the same status (apprenticeship). As a rule, the final period of apprenticeship in a sequence is much longer than an initial one.

Explanatory factors: age, gender and education

The multiplicity of labour market attachments is hypothetically dependent on the influences of explanatory factors, such as age, gender and the educational backgrounds of immigrants. As types of transitions contain typical chains of sequences leading to employment, unemployment, economic inactivity, apprenticeship or pension, the factor of age explains why groups of immigrants with different types of transitions are either homogeneous or heterogeneous between or within themselves.

The mean age of those coming to Finland as a foreigner was 29.74 years in 2000 (two age groups are significant: the 20–29-years-olds (35.8%) and the 30–45-year-olds (33.2%)) and the number of 15-year-old immigrants was rather high in the overall structure of sampling (16.2%). Two ‘youngest’ groups of immigrants, for whom ‘apprenticeship’ was a dominating status during the 11-year period of observation (the groups ‘Delayed integration (from apprenticeship)’ and ‘Apprenticeship’) have been classified separately (Figure 3).
Comparatively, the analysis of mean indicators on age shows that, as a rule, the mean age of immigrants dropping out from the database is higher than the mean age of immigrants who remain in the database. This circumstance potentially allows us to draw conclusions about two tendencies in the life-courses of immigrants. On the one hand, immigrants come to Finland at a young age; on the other hand, immigrants take decisions about recurring immigration at an older age (Figure 4).

We tested whether the age variable follows a normal distribution (taken on the 2000th year). Carrying out the Kolmogorov–Smirnov Test allowed to reject a hypothesis about normal distribution of age in the sequences’ groups ($p = .05$). The non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis Test allowed to reject the null hypothesis meaning that the distribution of age is the same across the sequences’ groups ($p = .000$).

In addition to the first explanatory factor, an analysis of initial positions among men and women at the beginning of the observation period showed that the status ‘employment’ is a more typical status for men than for women; the number of men who had been employed since the beginning was approximately twice as high as the number of employed women. At the same time, the number of men who were outside the labour force (economically inactive) was less than half the number of economically inactive women (Figure 5).

Overall, during the observation period, the number of men in the database decreased by 13%, whereas the number of women decreased by 9.5% (in comparison to number in 2000). However, at the end of the observation period (2010), similar shares of men and women had had employment. The number of those economically inactive or unemployed was a little smaller among men in compared to the number of women (Figure 6).

Overall analysis of the gender structure of the cluster groups shows that in such cluster groups as ‘Entering’, ‘Exclusion’ and ‘Apprenticeship’, the prevalence of women was more obvious, whereas in ‘Withdrawal’ and ‘Quick integration’, the dominant number of men is evident (see Table 1 in Appendix). We tested whether the groups of sequences are different on gender by means of the chi-square test with Bonferroni corrections of the $P$ values. Since the $P$-value (0.000) is less than the significance level (0.05), we cannot accept the null hypothesis that the groups of sequences are similar on gender. Thus, we conclude that there is a relationship between gender and the sequences’ groups ($X^2 (9, N = 2596) = 186.17, p = .000$).

Finally, the factor of educational background potentially predetermines paths of labour market attachment and transitions in one of the labour market statuses. The majority of immigrants had rather low levels of education when coming to Finland in 2000; in the beginning of the observation period, 89% of immigrants did not have professional education. It is notable that the mean age of immigrants without any professional education was lower (29.07 in 2000) in comparison to immigrants with specialised secondary education (33.73 years in 2000) or those immigrants with higher education (the mean age of immigrants with a bachelor’s degree was 36.21 years and the mean age of immigrants who had master’s degree was 35.80 years) (Figure 7).

However, a general tendency towards increasing educational levels was common to all the cluster groups. Toward the end of the observation period (2010), immigrants who mostly followed transitional models resulting in employment or apprenticeship had potentially obtained a new educational degree; for these individuals, an educational code appeared and changed (‘Delayed integration (from apprenticeship)’ and ‘Apprenticeship’). On the other hand, a smaller proportion of immigrants who obtained a new educational degree during the 11-year period and increased professional status belonged to such groups as ‘Circulating’ and ‘Exclusion’.

5 Discussion

According to our hypothesis, immigrants’ labour market integration should be understood as process that includes various transitions. A one-off measurement of a subject’s employment circumstances cannot provide reliable evidence because the positions of immigrants in the labour market are rather unstable and in continuous process of change. Given this, we argue that immigrants go through typical trajectories of labour market attachment, which includes various transitions between statuses in the labour market. As our results show, there are immigrants who integrate quickly and successfully and with few transitions to employment; on the contrary, there are also those who proceed through several transitions that require a significant period of time, and delayed entry decreases the probability of being sustainably employed. In general, the first and longer second statuses improve the likelihood of future employability. The
Figure 5. The beginning is fixed

Figure 6. The end is fixed
circulation of statuses (‘spin’) signifies active adaptation to conditions, labour market attachment and aspiration for any employment. The next stage of labour market attachment becomes decisive as it leads to final employment, movement outside the labour market or subsequent resettlement.

The importance of welfare state institutions and policy measures targeted at immigrants is obvious, as for most immigrants, the options of apprenticeship, supported employment, labour market training and re-training are of great importance and affect the patterns of their labour market integration. The intensity and forms of labour market attachment vary according to the life course, social position and resources of the individual. In the case of immigrants, labour market integration is often a more time-consuming process because of the lack of adequate policies that support the immigrant’s labour market integration over their life course. A longer period outside the labour market aggravates the rigidity of behaviour among individuals and the rigidity of the labour market towards less flexible regulation of unemployment risks and economic inactivity.

As a methodological choice, the sequence analysis method had certain advantages as well as disadvantages in application. One of the strengths of the method is that it allows for the representation of the time-ordered sequence of socio-economic states (statuses) that immigrants have experienced. One of the disadvantages of this method is its one-dimensionality of categories and elements that aggregate the sequences. The analysis of parallel or multiple sequences (for example, employment careers) becomes a serious obstacle when using this method. For historians and social scientists, the most problematic disadvantage of the method is the ambivalence of the data used. Many sociological data are monadic – i.e. every case is defined by only one value for a given variable. At the same time, the distance data, which can be used for the optimal matching techniques, are dyadic, because values are defined for a pair of cases (Abbott & Forrest 1986: 489). Thus, optimal matching offers an effective way of finding a verifiable typology of sequences and revealing reasons why various countries differ on the same typology.

In combination with the sequence analysis, the cluster analysis allows for the synthesis of an enormous volume of information consisting of various sequences of statuses into relatively homogeneous groups. However, like sequence analysis, cluster analysis also has limitations. It allows for a multiplicity of various solutions and sensible research results to appear for various cluster algorithms; thus, a choice for a final cluster solution depends on the subjective concepts of the researcher. A choice of a suitable number of clusters is always a challenge because the exact requirements or criteria for choosing a certain number of clusters do not exist. In our case, the analysis of the dendrogram on the sequence analysis and the analysis of the content of cluster groups predetermined our final choice in favour of the 10-cluster solution.

Our results also confirm that integration in the labour market is a multi-dimensional process. Considering the influence of individual characteristics such as age, level of education, professional experience and family structure, this phenomenon obtains new content and, consequently, produces new scientific arguments. As already emphasised, the factors of age, education and gender are decisive in the process of labour market attachment and integration. However, on the other hand, belonging to a certain birth-cohort and the time of ‘entrance’ into the labour market can also be considered decisive, especially for unemployed or economically inactive immigrants. The factor of ‘entrance’ into the labour market is also considered a macro-economic and institutional factor. Thus, multiplicity of scientific directions for analysis of the labour market integration correlates with the policy supporting immigrants from the view of labour carriers and ‘the life-course’ approach.

The employment situation of immigrants in Finland improved after the end of the recession of 1990s, largely thanks to the economic upturn but also due to training and special labour market integration measures (Timonen 2004). Until the late 1990s, the predominant ideology underlying Finnish integration policy was assimilationist, with the expectation that members of ethnic minority groups should become culturally absorbed and indistinguishable from the mainstream of Finnish social and cultural life. Today the principles of Finnish integration policy are based on multi-cultural and pluralistic ideas (Harinen et al. 2007). The current labour market not only needs necessary professional skills but also better intercultural interaction and communication skills. In practice, the increase in interaction and collaboration of people with different ethnic and cultural backgrounds is creating the need to redefine both human resources management practices and personnel training.

The general aim of Finland’s settlement policy rests on the assumption that immigrants are entitled to the same rights and treatment as the rest of the population. At the same time, Finland has also adopted the principle of reciprocity – that immigrants should be expected to contribute willingly to their successful integration into the Finnish society. In practice, however, there are still many problematic issues, which are mainly caused by the high unemployment rate among immigrant groups. When immigrants find jobs, they often encounter many problems related to the transfer of their professional skills. Consequently, they are more likely to be placed in the lower ranks of the labour market hierarchy and paid less than other native employees.

6 Conclusions

According to our results, higher education acquired in the country of destination does not always predetermine adequate employment. Even for younger immigrants, a period of apprenticeship can be followed by either permanent job placement or unrealised integration in the labour market and the continued recurrence of apprenticeship.
In the context of international research, the factor of education has a multi-dimensional character: neither higher education obtained in the native country nor higher education obtained in the country of destination are a factor for predetermining adequate employment for immigrants. In many cases, education is only considered evidence of a period spent in apprenticeship, not necessarily as a basis for future employment (Akresh 2006; Raijman & Semyonov 1995; Jasso & Rosenzweig 1995; Phythian et al. 2009; Thapa & Gørgens 2006; Wilkinson et al. 2006).

On the other hand, our results show that after a number of years living in the country, the employment rate of immigrants increases for both men and women, whereas the unemployment rate objectively decreases. However, the heterogeneity of immigrant groups also implies the heterogeneity of forms and outcomes of integration. For example, several categories of immigrants have a direct transitional path to employment (immediate or delayed), while transitional patterns are more complicated, diverse and hazardous. An immigrant’s age has a significant influence on the process of integration, because younger immigrants orient toward obtaining new educational degrees and subsequent job placement, whereas the frequency of transitions between statuses decreases proportionally with the age of immigrants.

Our results are also congruent with the results of international research, proving that during the first few years of living in a host country, the differences in employment and unemployment for immigrants gradually decreases when compared to the native population. The employment and unemployment of immigrants is more sensitive to cyclical changes in economic activity than the employment of the native population. In general, during the first 10 years of living in a new country, differences in the employment rate gradually smooth out and disappear between immigrants and the native population.
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Appendix Table 1. Typology of sequences according to age and gender (2000 and 2010 years)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>Non</th>
<th>New</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Std.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(age)</td>
<td>Deviation (age)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 1 “Entering”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>28.06</td>
<td>15.211</td>
<td>94.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>74.1</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>29.25</td>
<td>13.19</td>
<td>90.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>165</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>28.94</td>
<td>13.711</td>
<td>91.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2 “Withdrawal”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>29.84</td>
<td>9.471</td>
<td>93.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>9.133</td>
<td>89.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>159</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>29.34</td>
<td>9.354</td>
<td>91.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 3 “Delayed integration (from apprenticeship)”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>17.95</td>
<td>6.621</td>
<td>97.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>21.66</td>
<td>9.928</td>
<td>93.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>182</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.76</td>
<td>8.583</td>
<td>95.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 4 “Dropout”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33.37</td>
<td>13.968</td>
<td>96.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33.47</td>
<td>15.322</td>
<td>93.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>33.42</td>
<td>14.562</td>
<td>95.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 5 “Quick integration”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>68.3</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>29.24</td>
<td>7.821</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>29.59</td>
<td>8.16</td>
<td>87.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>496</td>
<td>475</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>29.35</td>
<td>7.923</td>
<td>90.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 6 “Circulating”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>32.23</td>
<td>12.283</td>
<td>84.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>59.2</td>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>36.44</td>
<td>10.745</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>318</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>34.72</td>
<td>11.567</td>
<td>79.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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